

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 352 /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.  
Date : 28 JAN 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 86 OF 2016.**

1. Shri Pandurang S. More (Bhat),  
R/o. Abdullat, Tal. Shirol, Dist. Kolhapur.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- 1 State of Maharashtra, through its  
Department of Home, Mantralaya,  
Mumbai.
- 2 Collector, Kolhapur, Having Office  
at Swaraj Bhawan, Nagala Park,  
Kolhapur.
- 3 Tahasildar, Hatkanangale, Tal.  
Hatkanangale, Dist. Kolhapur.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of **January, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri S.S. Dere, Advocate holding Shri. D.V. Sutar, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **22.01.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Sachin*  
25/1/2016  
**Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**

BRO. MAT. P. R.

**MH. ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20


**CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 22.01.2016.**

**O.A.No.86 of 2016**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend.
3. However wanted to address for interim relief based on existing pleadings in view that the written examination which will be held on tomorrow.
4. Heard. Perused the chart in which Applicant's candidature is not considered on account of lack of character verification certificate from competent authority.
5. Applicant is silent on the point as to what precluded the applicant from securing certificate from Competent Authority.
6. Since the lapse on the part to the Applicant is glaring, there are no grounds made out for showing an illegality or special disability on his part. Therefore no indulgence can be granted.
7. O.A. has no merit and dismissed.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

sba

TRUE COPY

*Anil*  
28/1/2016  
Asst. Registrar / Research Officers  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal